Appreved For Release 3003 of MG6 CHA-RDP35-00988 REDGEDO100036

| | | | CONTRACTOR |
|-----------------|---|----------|--|
| | LICENS SANDING | | i i |
| 11 H(5115 | MEMORANDUM | LEUKLASI | The state of the s |
| | LIEL GIA HIS G | | |
| " PERSONAL SAME | 5 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 | 15.5 | 。 |
| | *** | | · 14 表现了完整在4 数据设置,2016年100岁的100岁的100岁之后,100岁之间 |

SUBJECT

Bus Transportation

REFERENCE

Memo to DDA fr Chairman ADMAG, dtd 19 Mar 76; Subject:

ADMAG Comments on Pay Parking at Non-Headquarters

Buildings

- The controversy over the cost of parking and the fairness of the system will exist as long as it is necessary to house any portion of this Agency's population outside the Headquarters Building. Even at Headquarters there are endless complaints about the fairness of parking space distribution.
- 2. In such crowded areas as Rosslyn where parking space is in critically short supply, it is impossible for every employee to acquire a parking space regardless of the cost. It is, therefore, necessary for some employees to utilize alternative means of transportation. Probably the most obvious alternative is Metro bus.
- 3. Metro's objectives are fairly simple, provide service to as many people as possible, as efficiently, and economically as possible. A great many surveys have been taken to determine where people are, where they want to go, and what time they want to get there. With this information, Metro schedules its 2500 buses where they will serve the greatest number of people. Metro is continually evaluating the effectiveness of their service with respect to the number of passengers they serve. Changes in routing are initiated in response to mass movements of the population to new residential and working areas. Several cases are pending at this time wherein areas have been found in need of additional service but buses are not currently available. When it is found that buses in other areas are being under-

Approved For Release 2003/11/06 : CIA-RDP85-00988R000600100036-7

SUBJECT: Bus Transportation be utilized, they will reprogrammed for service in areas of greater need.

- The Agency shuttle bus system was designed to facilitate travel between Agency locations for employees on official business. This system The state of the s is also coordinated with the shuttle systems of other Government agencies . The Agency bus schedule is which provide service to other locations. developed using criteria similar to that used by Metro. The bulk of our fleet, which consists of seven bluebird buses, four limousines, and two club wagons, is put into service where the greatest need exists, and while A CASH SHOWN HARD the remainder is used to provide less frequent service to points were less 《神经》,在巴西斯的一个一个一名,在1980年的第二次,例如 passenger traffic has been noted. As it exists at this time, service on Land Market Control of the Control o routes one and two (Rosslyn, East, State, Executive Office Building and Pentagon) begins approximately every 40 minutes alternating at 20 minute A The second intervals. Service to the Chamber of Commerce Building is every 30 minutes, 25X1 is every hour. and service to the L
- as most of us would like, it is frequently a more desirable alternative than the high cost of parking. As previously stated. Metro is responsive to

Approved For Release 2003/11/06 : CIA-RDP85-00988R000600100036-7

SUBJECT: Bus transportation

requests for new service; however, any requests should be accompanied by convincing evidence that it will serve the public good and will not increase the deficit.

FILE:

D-177610

DATE: MAR 17 1976

MATTER OF:

Parking Pees and Charges for GSA Provided Space and Services

DIGEST: 1.

- There General Services Administration pursuant to 40 U.S.C. 8 490(j) charges Veterans Administration for parking space for use of employees, and related services, VA appropriations are available to pay such charges subject to 90 percent limitation contained in VA annual appropriations.
- 2. Where executive agency other than General Services Administration provides parking space or related services to employees, or to others, agency is authorized by 40 U.S.C. § 490(k) to charge occupants therefor if, but only if, rates are approved by Administrator of General Services and the Office of Henagement and Eudget.
- 3. Under 40 U.C.C. 8 400(h), fees collected by an executive agency for space provided to "anyons" pursuant to that provision, including parking fees collected from caployons, if water therefor are approved, are governed to be credited to appropriations initially charged for such services, except that amounts collected in excess of actual costs must be remitted to the Treesury as miscalinnous receipts.
- 4. General Services Administration does not assert, nor does it have, nuthority to force agencies to ascept and pay for parking space in excess of their stated needs.

The Deputy Administrator of Voterans Affairs requests our decision on several questions senseming the propriety of payeous to the consent Services Administration (GDA) and the collection of fees from anylogues for parking space and comices, where parking is provided at Veterals Administration (VA) facilities other than Hoppitals.

The VA states that the General Services Fininistration is unliking the "Standard Level User Charge" (SLUC) to assess charges for parking

Approved For Release 2003/11/06: CIA-RDP85-00988R000600100036-7

B-177610

spaces under GSA control allocated to the VA and related services including some parking spaces provided directly to individual VA employees. The Deputy Administrator questions whether Congress contemplated "that such payments would, in effect, provide free parking to government employees" and asks whether VA can recoup parking costs by charging its employees. He also states that in some instances space has been assigned, although not requested by VA.

Four specific questions are propounded:

- "1. Can the Veterans Administration appropriation, without specific approval from Congress, be used to pay charges levied by the General Services Administration for employee parking?
- "2. If the foregoing question is answered in the affirmative, can the Veterans Administration, by virtue of the authority set out in section 210(k) of the Federal Property and Administrative Services Act of 1949, as amended, charge Veterans Administration employees for the parking spaces provided to them, notwithstanding the fact that the General Services Administration has failed to establish rates for this purpose?
- "3. If it is determined that the Veterans Administration is authorized to charge its employees for the parking spaces provided to them, what disposition may be made of the receipts? In other words, could these receipts be retained by the agency in an account in the nature of a parking revolving fund, returned to the appropriation from which expended, or must such receipts be deposited in the Miscellaneous Receipts Account of the United States Treasury?
- "4. Finally, If the agency determines that no parking spaces are needed, or spaces above a given number are not needed or required to accomplish the day-to-day operations at a particular facility (including employee parking), does the General Services Administration possess authority to allocate parking spaces to individual agency employees or to the agency in excess of the number of parking spaces deemed necessary by it; and thereafter require the agency to bear the charges for such parking spaces?"

With reference to VA's first question, as indicated in our decision in 52 Comp. Gen. 957, 958 (1973), one of the major purposes of the

B-Approved For Release 2003/11/06 : CIA-RDP85-00988R000600100036-7

Public Buildings Amendments of 1972, Pub. L. No. 92-313, June 6, 1972, -86 Stat. 219, was the creation of the Federal Buildings Fund to finance real property management and related GSA activities. Among the sources of revenue to be paid into the fund are user charges, assessed under 40 U.S.C. § 490(j)(Supp. IV, 1974). Section 490(j) provides, inter alia:

"The Administrator /of General Services/ is authorized and directed to charge anyone furnished services, space quarters, maintenance, repair, or other facilities (hereinafter referred to as space and services), at rates to be determined by the Administrator from time to time and provided for in regulations issued by him. Such rates and charges shall approximate commercial charges for comparable space and services.* * *"

Section 609 of the act approved August 9, 1975, Pub. L. No. 94-91, 89 Stat. 459, provides in part that:

"Appropriations available to any department or agency during the current fiscal year and the period of July 1, 1976, through September 30, 1976, for necessary expenses, including maintenance or operating expenses, shall also be available for payment to the General Services Administration for charges for space and services ** **."

Section 405 of the act approved October 17, 1975, Pub. L. No. 94-116, 89 Stat. 600, making appropriations for inter alia, the Veterans Administration for the current fiscal year, and through September 30, 1976, further provides that:

"No part of any appropriation, funds, or other authority contained in this Act shall be available for paying to the Administrator of the General Services Administration in excess of 90 per centum of the standard level user charge established pursuant to section 310(j) of the Federal Property and Administrative Services Act of 1949; as excuded, for space and services."

Such a provision has been routinely included in appropriation acts since enactment of Pub. L. No. 93-381, 8 506, August 21, 1974, 83 Stat. 630. This restriction was explained in the report of the House Committee on Appropriations, on the legislation enacted as Pub. L. No. 93-381, N. Rep. No. 93-1132, 39(1974), as follows:

Approved For Release 2003/11/06 : CIA-RDP85-00988R000600100036-7

"After consideration of the budget and proposals of the General Services Administration, the Committee reached the following conclusions:

"1. The standard level user charges established by GSA are in excess of comparable commercial rates for space and services.

"2. A reduction of 10% in these rental charges was assessed and each appropriation act will reduce the amount allowed for such charges by that amount."

In view of the foregoing authorities, we are of the opinion that moneys appropriated for the use of the Veterans Administration for necessary expenses, including maintenance or operating expenses, for the current fiscal year and through September 30, 1976, are available for payment of 90 percent of GSA's standard level user charges for space and services, assessed pursuant to 40 U.S.C. § 400(j), including charges attributable to employed parking spaces. Accordingly, the first question submitted is enswered in the affirmative.

With reference to the second question, section 490(k) of Title 40, United States Code (Supp. IV, 1974) provides that:

"Any executive agency, other than the General Services Administration, which provides to enjoue space and services set forth in subsection (j) of this section, is authorized to charge the occupant for such space and services at rates approved by the Administrator. Honeys derived by such ensentive agency from such rates or fees shall be credited to the appropriation or fund intertially charged for providing the service, except that amounts which are in enems of actual operating and maintened as could of providing the service shall be credited to miscallaneous receipts unless otherwise authorized by law."

VA suggests that, notwithstanding use of the term "enyone" in this subsection, the legislative history of the Public Buildings Amendments of 1972 appears to limit its applicability to charging other rederal agencies for space, thereby precluding recomment of parking space charges from employees. However, the VA did not cite the legislative history on which it relies, and we are not aware of any supporting

B-177610

materials on this point. Following general rules of statutory construction, the term "anyone" as used in section 210(k) /40 U.S.C. \$ 490(k)/ should be given the same meaning as in the preceding section 210(j) which deals with charges by the Administrator to "enyone" furnished space or services. The legislative history of section 210(1) indicates that the term anyone was substituted for the term eligible agency in H.R. 19488, 92nd Congress, by the House Committee on Public Works. Eligible agency was defined in the bill to include private persons and organizations. S. 1736, 92nd Congress, a similar Bill authorized CSA to charge any Federal agency, * * * Federal employee, private persons, or organization"furnished space. The conference report accompanying S. 1736 adopted the House language, H. Rept. No. 92-1097. Thus it seems clear that the term "anyone" is not limited to other Federal agencies but may also include individual Federal employees or others, to whom parking privileges are accorded. See 52 Comp. Gen. 957, 960-961, in which we treated the statutory provisions for leasing space at SLUC rates as being equally applicable, whether the occupant is a Federal agency or private concessionaire.

Concerning VA's authority to charge caployees for parking spaces notwithsteading the fact that GSA has not established rates for this purpose, 40 U.S.C. 8 490(k) expressly limits charges thereinder to "rates approved by the Administrator for Ceneral Services/*! Moreover, GSA is authorized to adopt regulations to "carry out the provisions of the Public Buildings Amendments of 1972," to be:

In our view, the foregoing provisions necessarily have the effect of making approval of rates by CSA and the Office of Hanagement and Eudges a prerequisite to an agency's imposition of charges under a 400(k). Thus, in response to the second question, 40 U.S.C. & 400(k) authorizes VA to impose charges for employee parking if, but only if, rates therefor have been approved by GSA and CLES. While VA apparently has not sought approval of rates for employee parking charges, according to a report furnished to us from GSA, it is "not inclined" at this time to approve rates for such purpose pending development of a national policy in this regard. GSA states:

"* * * Since the enactment of the 1972 amendments to the * * * /kederal Property and

Administrative Services Act of 1949, 40 U.S.C. \$\$ 490(j), (k) (Supp. IV, 19747 the only agency request received by GSA for approval of such rates for parking was not approved by GSA following discussions with the agency and our Office of Federal Management and Policy, which has been delegated responsibility to establish a national parking policy by the Office of Management and Budget. Pending development of a national policy, GSA is not inclined * * to approve parking rates to be assessed against individual Government employees. We believe that if such a policy is adopted it should be applied on a uniform basis, without regard to the preferences of a single agency."

If caployce parking charges eventually obtain the requisite approvals, VA's third question concerning the disposition of receipts from such charges seems to be ensured by the express terms of 40 U.S.C. B 490(k). This subsection states that, unless otherwise authorized by law, moneys derived from charges thereunder shall be credited to the appropriation or fund initially charged for providing the service, except that smounts in excess of setual costs shall be treated as miscellaneous receipts. We have not been referred to any statute which would supersede & 490(k) in the case of VA. Receipts credited to an agency appropriation or fund pursuant to 8 490(k) would, of course, take on the identity of that appropriation or fund and therefore become subject to its period of availability. Thus, assuming that the applicable VA appropriations would be evailable on a fiscal year basis, and in the absence of any specific statutory authority to the contrary, "on account in the nature of a parking revolving fund" could not be created.

The fourth question is directed to the propriety of GSA's allocation of parking spaces wither to the agency or directly to individual employees for which the agency is charged although the agency feels these spaces are in excess of their naces. In response to our inquiry on this point, GSA's report stated:

"w * * It is not CSA policy to require
agencies to accept and pay for parking epaces
in any number in excess of its /sle/ needs.* * *
Generally, the number of perking spaces eyailable for assignment is less than the demand for
such spaces. In the event * * * the Veterens
Administration believes that it is assigned
parking spaces in excess of its needs, it is
suggested that it contact our Public Buildings
Service to discuss the matter in order that
appropriate adjustments can be made."

Note that GSA refers to employee parking spaces assigned to and accepted by agencies. Thus GCA does not--and, in our view, could not--assert a right to force agencies to accept and pay for parking space which they do not need. Cf., 52 Comp. Gen. 957, supra, at 961.

In this regard, we note that the Administrator has provided by regulation, as follows:

"The space utilization program is designed to effect maximum efficient utilization of Covernment-controlled space. Space for which there is no current foreseeable need will be relinquished." Federal Property Management Regulations, \$ 101-17.203.

"GSA shall be notified by an agency occupying space assigned by GSA at least 60 days prior to the date on which the space, or portion thereof, will no longer be needed.* * * Such notification shall be in writing to the CSA regional office responsible for the geographical area in which the space is located * * *. When a portion of space is released, it must be consolidated and accessible for reassignment.* * * The appropriate GSA regional office may reassign or dispose of the space." Id., \$ 101-17.204(a).

Accordingly, it would appear that where a Coverment agency occupies parking space assigned by the GSA for which there is no current or foreseable agency need, the agency may relinquish that space by giving the notice required.

With respect to CSA's authority to allocate parking spaces directly to individual agency employees and to charge the agency therefor, we considered this question in 52 Comp. Cen. 957, sunsa, in connection with proposed regulations by CSA to implement the standard level user wharge. We concluded, inter allo, that CSA had authority to assign parking spaces to expendes for assignment to employees, or to agency amployees directly and that it could impose the CLMC in differ case. However, in neither instance may an agency be compelled to pay for a parking space which it has determined is not necessary and which it has declined to accept. Id., at 900-61.

Deputy Comptroller General of the United States